Forum where justice is administered, usually by a panel of judges. The term tribunal is broader than the term “court [1]”, as it may refer to an administrative, rather than a judicial, body. The latter typically afford a greater range of judicial guarantees [2]. “Tribunal” can also be used to designate a specific kind of court which has authority on a special matter. For example: International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) [3] or the International Military Tribunal for the Far East [4].

Art. 5 of the Third Geneva Convention, requires that, in case of doubt, the status [5] of an individual who committed a belligerent act and who is in the hands of the enemy be determined by a tribunal. This does not have to be a court.

See Court [1];

**OUTLINE**

Chapter II, Who is a prisoner of war? 1. resumption of combatant and prisoner of war status [6]
determination of status of POW in case of doubt

GCIII, 5

Determination of status

GC III, Art. 5: determination by a competent tribunal of the status of person who committed a belligerent act and have fallen in the hands of the enemy

AP 1, Art. 45: protection of persons who have taken part in hostilities (determination of their status by a competent tribunal)

Occupation (subheading)

GC IV, Art. 64: “tribunals of the occupied territory shall continue to function”
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