The use of force is regulated by several different regimes of international law.

General public international law and the law of the United Nations Charter govern the legality of the resort to the use of force between States. This is referred to as the *ius ad bellum*. It is important to note that IHL’s application does not, in any way, depend on *ius ad bellum* determinations of the legality of resort to force. The two branches of law must be kept distinct.

In addition, both international humanitarian law and international human rights law deal with the use of force. In both conduct of hostilities and law enforcement paradigms, the use of force is regulated by principles of necessity, proportionality and precautions, but these principles have distinct meanings and operate differently in each of the two regimes. Additionally, in IHL, the use of force is governed by the cardinal principle of distinction.

According to this principle, the defining criterion for determining the rules governing the use of force against a particular individual under IHL is whether such a person is a lawful target under its norms on the conduct of hostilities. The situation is less clear in non-international armed conflicts - which require a fact-specific analysis - and with regard to the use of force against isolated individuals who are lawful targets under IHL but are located in regions under a State’s firm and stable control, where no hostilities are taking
place and it is not reasonably foreseeable that the adversary could readily receive reinforcement (see targeted killings [9]).

However, under IHRL, the use of lethal force in law enforcement operations may be employed only as a last resort, subject to strict or absolute necessity. Persons posing a threat must be captured rather than killed, unless it is necessary to protect other persons against the imminent threat of death or serious injury, or to prevent the perpetration of a particularly serious crime involving grave threat to life, and this objective cannot be addressed through means less harmful than the use of lethal force.
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