While IHL is applicable in armed conflicts only, International Human Rights Law is applicable in all situations. However, all but the non-derogable provisions may be suspended, under certain conditions, in situations threatening the life of the nation. As the latter do not only include armed conflicts, the complementarity remains imperfect; in particular, a gap exists in situations of internal disturbances and tension.
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