Under IHL, parties to armed conflict must take all necessary precautions to treat captured persons humanely and in accordance with specific protection provided by their status. It is also prohibited to capture an adversary by resort to perfidy.

See also Capture Cards [1]; Perfidy [2]; Spies [3]; Levée en masse [4]; Journalists [5]
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