The fact that a breach [1] was committed by a subordinate does not absolve his superiors from penal or disciplinary responsibility. Superiors can be responsible if they knew or had information which should have enabled them to conclude, in the circumstances and at the time, the subordinate was committing or going to commit a breach [1]; and the superior did not take all feasible measures within their power to prevent or repress the breach [1].
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